

Organization Bldg./Room
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



Mot Deliverable As Addressed Unable To Forward
Dissufficient Address
Dissufficient Dissufficient
Dissufficient Dissufficient
Dissufficient Dissufficient
Discontinued For Bettien Address
Dissufficient For Bettien Address
Dissufficient Dissufficient

JAN 0 4 2012 USPTO MAIL CENTER

HECEINED

TAN

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BARBARA GORANSSON
11113 SW SPRINGTREE TERRACE
PORT SAINT LUCIE, FL 34987

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MAILED

DEC 12 2011

In re Patent No. 6,604,324

Issue Date: August 12, 2003

Application No. 09/444,546

Filed: November 22, 1999 Atty. Dkt. No. 29967US1 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b), filed November 6, 2011, to accept an unavoidably delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this 2-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). Any such petition for reconsideration must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$400 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

The patent issued August 12, 2003. The 7.5 year maintenance fee could have been paid from August 12, 2010 through February 12, 2011, or with a surcharge during the period from February 13, 2011 through August 12, 2011. Accordingly, the patent expired August 12, 2011, for failure to timely submit the maintenance fee.

A petition to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b) must be accompanied by (1) an adequate showing that the delay was unavoidable, since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent, (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted, and (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1).

This petition lacks item requirement (1) set forth above.

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BARBARA GORANSSON 11113 SW SPRINGTREE TERRACE PORT SAINT LUCIE, FL 34987

MAILED

DEC 12 2011

In re Patent No. 6,604,324

Issue Date: August 12, 2003

Application No. 09/444,546

Filed: November 22, 1999 Atty. Dkt. No. 29967US1 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b), filed November 6, 2011, to accept an unavoidably delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this 2-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). Any such petition for reconsideration must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$400 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

The patent issued August 12, 2003. The 7.5 year maintenance fee could have been paid from August 12, 2010 through February 12, 2011, or with a surcharge during the period from February 13, 2011 through August 12, 2011. Accordingly, the patent expired August 12, 2011, for failure to timely submit the maintenance fee.

A petition to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b) must be accompanied by (1) an adequate showing that the delay was unavoidable, since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent, (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted, and (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1).

This petition lacks item requirement (1) set forth above.

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1).

Patentee appears to attribute the failure to timely pay the second maintenance fee to financial hardship wherein patentee states:

"Due to the present market conditions in which we sell our products, we have been faced with unavoidable cash flow which prevented us from paying this maintenance on time."

The showing of record is inadequate to establish unavoidable delay within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.378(b)(3).

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". See, 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1). Acceptance of late payment of a maintenance fee is considered under the same standard as that for reviving an abandoned application under 35 U.S.C. § 133 because 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1) uses the identical language, i.e. "unavoidable delay". Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d 606, 608-09, 34 USPQ2d 1786, 1787 (Fed. Cir. 1995)(quoting In re Patent No. 4,409,763, 7 USPQ2d 1798, 1800 (Comm'r Pat. 1988)). Decisions on reviving abandoned applications have adopted the "reasonably prudent person" standard in determining if the delay in responding to an Office action was unavoidable. Ex parte Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (Comm'r Pat. 1887)(the term "unavoidable" "is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business"); In re Mattullath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-515 (D.C. Cir. 1912); and Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141. In addition, decisions on revival are made on a "case-by-case basis, taking all the facts and circumstances into account." Smith v. Mossinghoff, 671 F.2d 533, 538, 213 USPQ 977, 982 (D.C. Cir. 1982). Finally, a petition to revive an application as unavoidably abandoned cannot be granted where a petitioner has failed to meet his or her burden of establishing the cause of the unavoidable delay. Haines v. Quigg, 673 F. Supp. 314, 316-17, 5 USPO2d 1130, 1131-32 (N.D. Ind. 1987).

As 35 U.S.C. § 41(b) requires the payment of the fees at specified intervals to maintain a patent in force, rather than some response to a specific action by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 133, a reasonably prudent person in the exercise of due care and diligence would have taken steps to ensure the timely payment of such maintenance fees. Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d at 609, 34 USPQ2d at 1788. That is, an adequate showing that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee at issue was "unavoidable" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.378(b)(3) requires a showing of the steps taken by the responsible party. Id.

Petitioner must establish that petitioner was aware of the need to pay the maintenance fee, and to that end was tracking it, or had engaged someone to track it before the expiration, but when the fee came due, petitioner was "unavoidably" prevented from making the maintenance fee payment due to financial hardship. Petitioner has failed to satisfy this burden of proof.

Petitioner has failed to establish the existence of financial hardship. Petitioner has provided no documentary evidence of the alleged financial hardship. Further, petition has failed to indicate when such financial hardship commenced and/or ended. Moreover, petitioner has failed to establish a nexus between any alleged financial hardship and the failure to timely remit the maintenance fee payment.

In view of the lack of documentary evidence or detailed declaration of facts, it cannot be found that the entire period of time, from the time that the maintenance fee was due until the filing of a grantable petition, was unavoidable. Accordingly, the petition to reinstate the patent must be dismissed.

Petitioners may request a refund of the previously submitted surcharge and maintenance fee. Petitioners may request a refund by writing to the Finance Office, Refund Section. A copy of this decision should accompany any request for refund.

Any renewed petition must establish that the entire period of delay from the time that the maintenance fee was due until the time of the filing of a grantable petition has been unavoidable. Petitioner is reminded that any renewed petition should entail an exhaustive effort to establish that the failure to timely pay the maintenance fee was unavoidable as after reconsideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(e), no further reconsideration regarding unavoidable delay will be undertaken. Petitioner may provide any and all documents and declaration so fact that he wishes the Office to consider prior to making a decision on the request for reinstatement of the patent

ALTERNATE VENUE

Petitioner may wish to consider submitting a petition stating that the failure to timely remit the maintenance fee was unintentional pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(c). Any petition to accept an unintentionally delayed payment of a maintenance fee filed under paragraph (a) of this section must be filed within twenty-four months after the six-month grace period provided in § 1.362(e) and must include: (1)The required maintenance fee set forth in § 1.20 (e) through (g); (2) The surcharge set forth in § 1.20(i)(2); and (3) A statement that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee was unintentional.

Enclosed please find as a courtesy a copy of PTO/SB/66. Petitioner is advised that the surcharge required under 37 CFR 1.378(c)(2) is currently \$1,640.00 and <u>is not</u> subject to waiver. The small entity 7.5 year maintenance fee is currently \$1,425.00. The effective date of fee increase is September 26, 2011. If desired, the previously submitted fee totaling \$2,880.00 can be used in connection with a petition filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(c). However, any request for reconsideration would require the submission of the remaining fee due.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a change of address should be filed in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record. Enclosed please find PTO/SB/123 which may be used to effect a proper change in correspondence address before the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3205.

/ALESIA M. BROWN/

Alesia M. Brown Attorney Advisor Office of Petitions

Enclosures

PTO/SB/123 (11-08) Approved for use through 11/30/2011. OMB 0651-0035

Approved for use intugin Translatin.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

CHANGE OF CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS Patent

Address to: Mail Stop Post Issue Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

subject to respond to a collection	on of information unless it displays a valid Olvis control number.
Patent Number	
Issue Date	
Application Number	
Filing Date	
First Named Inventor	
Attorney Docket Number	

Please change the Correspondence Address for the above-ic	lentified patent to:		
The address associated with Customer Number:			
OR		<u> </u>	
Firm or Individual Name			
		·	
Address			
City	State	ZIP	
Country	• • • •	,	
	Fil		
Telephone	Email		
This form cannot be used to change the data associated with		he data associated with an	
existing Customer Number use "Request for Customer Numb	per Data Change" (PTO/SB/124).		
This form will not affect any "fee address" provided for the ab	ove-identified patent. To change a	"fee address" use the "Fee	
Address Indication Form" (PTO/SB/47).			
I am the:			
☐ Patentee.			
Assistance of account of the author interest Oct. 27	OED 2.74		
Assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96).			
Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number			
Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number			
Signature			
Typed or			
Printed Name	·		
Date	Telephone		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			
*Total of forms are submitted.			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 3 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Post Issue, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

		LY DELAYED PAYMENT OF TENT (37 CFR 1.378 (c))	Docket Number (Optional)
P.O. Bo Alexan	op Petition ssioner for Patents ox 1450 dria, VA 22313-1450 571) 273-8300		
NOTE: If inform	ation or assistance is needed in	completing this form, please contact Petitions	Information at (571) 272-328
Patent No.		Application Number	
Issue Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Filing Date	
reis corr	sue patent number, if a reissue) sue application) leading to issua ect patent. 37 CFR 1.366(c) and	•	J.S. application (or
•	the following information, if a	pplicable	
The above – ide	ntified patent		
	Is a reissue of original Patent I	No original issue	e date
	original application number	<u>. </u>	
	original filing date		
	1		
	resulted from the entry into the	e U.S. under 35 U.S.C. 371 of international app	olication
	filed on		
	CERTIFICA	TE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.89(a))	
United States Posta Mail Stop Petition, (al Service on the date shown bel	er referred to as being attached or enclosed) is ow with sufficient postage as first class main i Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or fac n below.	n an envelope addressed to
	Pate	Signature	
-		Typed or Printed Name of Person	Similar Codificate

[page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.378(c). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**

PTO/SB/66 (03-09)

Approved for use through 03/31/2012. OMB 0651-0016

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

	1. SMALL ENTITY					
	Patentee claims, or has previously claimed, small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.					
2. LOSS OF ENTITLEMENT TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS						
\	Patentee is no longer e	ntitled to small entity statu	s. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)		
	3. MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CF	R 1.20(e)-(g))				
	The appropriate maintenance fee	e must be submitted with t	his petition, unless it v	was paid earlier.		
NOT Small Entity Small Entity]	
	Amount Fee	(Code)	Amount	Fee	(Code)]
	\$ 3 ½ yr fe	(1551)	\$	3 ½ yr fee	(2551)	
	7 ½ yr fe	(1552)	\$	7 ½ yr fee	(2552)	
	11 ½ yr fer	(1553)	\$	11 ½ yr fee	(2553)	
			MAINTENANCE F	FEE BEING SUBMITTEI	D\$	
	4. SURCHARGE	_				
	The surcharge required condition of accepting u	by 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2) of \$ nintentionally delayed pay	ment of a maintenance	_ (Fee Code 1558) must ce fee.	t be paid as a	
			SURCHARGE	FEE BEING SUBMITTE	D\$	
	5. MANNER OF PAYMENT					
		the sum of \$				
	Enclosed is a checknot					
	Please charge Deposit	Account No	the sum	of \$	·	
	Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.					
	6. AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ANY FEE DEFICIENCY					
The Director is hereby authorized to charge any maintenance fee, surcharge or petition deficiency to Deposit Account No.						
	\cdot .					

PTO/SB/66 (03-09)

Approved for use through 03/31/2012. OMB 0651-0016

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

	7. OVERPAYMENT				
	As to any overpayment made please				
	OR		Credit to Deposit Account No.		·
	UK		Send refund check		
			Sena reluna check		
to identity check or petition o should co advised t request in abandons (see 37 C	y theft. P credit ca or an app onsider re that the re n complia ed applic CFR 1.14	ersonal interest authorized authorized authorized authorized ance with cation math. Check	information such as social secur orization form PTO-2038 submitte If this type of personal information such personal information from a patent application is available in 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the ay also be available to the public	rity numbers, bank accounted for payment purposet on is included in docume the documents before set to the public after public application or issuance of the application is refe	nents filed in a patent application that may contribute unt numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a s) is never required by the USPTO to support a ents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is cation of the application (unless a non-publication e of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an erenced in a published application or an issued patent ted for payment purposes are not retained in the
	8. STAT	ГЕМЕНТ			
	-	The del	ay in payment of the maintenand	ce fee to this patent was	unintentional.
	PATE	ENT REI	NSTATED Signature(s) of Petitioner(s)		Date
			Typed or printed name(s)		Registration Number, if applicable
			Telephone Number		
				Address	
	-			Address	
	ENCLO		before the Patent and Tradema		e signed by an attorney or agent registered to ntee, the assignee, or other party in interest."
			Maintenance Fee Payment		
			:	•	
			Surcharge under 37 CFR 1.20	(i)(2) (fee for filing the ma	aintenance fee petition)

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the
 Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from
 this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether
 disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.